J	

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/485,320

Examiner

Art Unit

1651

Uchiyama et al.



	Irene Marx	x 1651	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		-
(1) Irene Marx	(3)		
(2) Mr. Kit			
Date of Interview	_		
Type: a) ☑ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)   Yes	e) 🔀 No. If yes, bri	ef description:	
Claim(s) discussed:			
השפות הוצים באונים של הוא			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached	. g)X was not read	ched. h)□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	•		
The newly presented IDS was discussed with regard to the submission from WIPO does not constitute a submission from	Statement under 1.9	77. It is the attorney's pos	ition that a
	om a rordign patem o	IIICE III a 371 case.	,
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no cavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)	Iments which the exa copy of the amendmen	miner agreed would render nts that would render the o	the claims claims allowable is
i) $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the sub	stance of the interview (if	oox is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM, INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPI already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Supports of Reserved.)	EP section 713.04). I	f a reply to the last Office	action has
SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	3 of interview requires	ments on reverse side or o	n attached
		PRIMA	ENE MARX ARY EXAMINER T UNIT 1651
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if rec	

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/485,320

Uchiyama et al.

Examiner

Irene Marx

Art Unit 1651

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT(	
(1) Irene Marx	(3)
(2) Mr. Kit	
Date of Interview	<del></del>
Type: a)   Telephonic b)   Video Conference c)   Personal [copy is given to 1)   applicant	t 2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes	e) ⊠ No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Toentification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.  Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	d. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A. al nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
The newly presented IDS was discussed with regard to the submission from WIPO does not constitute a submission	ne statement under 1.97. It is the attorney's position that a from a foreign patent office in a 371 case.
Upon reconsideration, the IDS will be entered. The amend	dment after final will not be entered. An advisory will be sent.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	idments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $\square$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH ERO	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required